Federal Communications Commission

DA 99-714

Before the

Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)
) CC Docket No. 99-35
Local Number Portability)
Tariff Filings of)
)
GTE Systems Telephone Companies) Transmittal No. 275
)
GTE Telephone Operating Companies) Transmittal No. 1196
)

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

Adopted: April 14, 1999

Released: April 14, 1999

By the Chief, Competitive Pricing Division:

I. INTRODUCTION

On February 24, 1999, the Common Carrier Bureau (Bureau) released the Number Portability Designation Order, specifying issues for the investigation of GTE Systems Telephone Companies (GSTC) Transmittal No. 271 and GTE Telephone Operating Companies (GTOC) Transmittal No. 1190. On February 25, 1999, GSTC filed Transmittal No. 275 and GTOC filed Transmittal No. 1196 proposing new monthly rates for the local number portability service provider and end-user charges.² The rates were effective March 4, 1999. On our own motion and pursuant to section 205 of the Commission's rules, we include GSTC

Long-Term Number Portability Tariff Filings of Ameritech, et al., Order Designating Issues for Investigation, CC Docket No. 99-35, DA 99-374 (Com. Car. Bur., rel. Feb. 4, 1999) (Number Portability Designation Order). The order also specified issues for the investigation of the local number portability tariff filings of Ameritech, Southwestern Bell Telephone Company and Pacific Bell. Those tariff filings are not at issue here.

See GSTC Transmittal No. 275, filed February 25, 1999; GTOC Transmittal No. 1196, filed February 25, 1999.

Transmittal No. 275 and GTOC Transmittal No. 1196 in the investigation in CC Docket No. 99-35.³

II. TRANSMITTAL NOS. 275 AND 1196

2. The Number Portability Designation Order sets out the specific issues for the Bureau's investigation of GSTC Transmittal No. 271 and GTOC Transmittal No. 1190.⁴ We find that GSTC Transmittal No. 275 and GTOC Transmittal No. 1196 raise the same issues that were set for investigation in the Number Portability Designation Order. The Bureau, therefore, designates for investigation with respect to GSTC Transmittal No. 275 and GTOC Transmittal No. 1196 all issues set for investigation in the Number Portability Designation Order for these companies.⁵

III. EX PARTE REQUIREMENTS

3. This tariff investigation is a "permit-but-disclose proceeding" and subject to the "permit-but-disclose" requirements under section 1.1206(b) of the rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.1206(b), as revised. Persons making oral ex parte presentations are reminded that memoranda summarizing the presentation must contain a summary of the substance of the presentation and not merely a listing of the subjects discussed. More than a one or two sentence description of the views and arguments presented is generally required. Other rules pertaining to oral and written presentations are set forth in section 1.1206 (b), as well.

³ See 47 U.S.C. § 205 and 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.91 and 0.291.

See Number Portability Designation Order at paras. 8-11, 34-35, and 51.

We note that GTE's direct case, filed on April 5, 1999, addressed the issues raised in the *Number Portability Designation Order* with respect to its revised tariff filings. Accordingly, no extension of the pleading cycle is necessary.

⁶ See 47 C.F.R. §1.1206 (b)(2), as revised.

IV. ORDERING CLAUSES

4. **ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED** that, pursuant to section 205 of the Communications Act, 47 U.S.C. § 205, and sections 0.91 and 0.291 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.91, 0.291, Transmittal No. 275, filed by GTE Systems Telephone Companies, and Transmittal No. 1196, filed by GTE Telephone Operating Companies, **ARE SUBJECT** to the investigation in CC Docket No. 99-35.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Jane E. Jackson

Chief, Competitive Pricing Division

Common Carrier Bureau